

Objective Quality Assessment of Coded Images: The development of New Quality Metrics

G.A.D Punchihewa, D. G. Bailey and R. M. Hodgson
Institute of Information Sciences & Technology
Massey University, Palmerston North, New Zealand

email: g.a.punchihewa@massey.ac.nz
d.g.bailey@massey.ac.nz
r.m.hodgson@massey.ac.nz

Abstract

This paper proposes novel image quality metrics for codecs. The degree of blockiness, blur and ringing due to image compression are evaluated with a small set of test signals designed to emphasize such artefacts. The known reference approach used offers swift and accurate measurements. The proposed test images are analogous to the full field static test signals in video or test images used in assessing still imagery. The objective quality measures can be used in the codec development process and/or in benchmarking codec performance. The efficacy of the new metrics is assessed using a JPEG codec at a range of compression levels.

Keywords: image quality, artefacts, subjective, objective, coding, metric, blockiness, blur, ringing

1 Introduction

Lossy image and video compression codecs introduce many types of distortions known as artefacts. Quantel define artefacts as “particular visible effects, which are a direct result of some technical limitation” [1]. Artefacts are generally not evaluated by traditional methods of signal evaluation. For instance, the visual perception of contouring in a picture cannot be related to signal-to-noise ratio [1].

In multimedia communications, image and video are the dominant components. With limited communication bandwidth and

storage capacity in terminal devices, it is necessary to reduce data rates. High levels of compression result in undesirable spurious features and patterns in the reconstructed image; these are the artefacts defined above. Image compression schemes such as JPEG use the techniques of discrete cosine transform (DCT), block processing and quantisation. This may result in blockiness, blur, contouring and ringing artefacts in coded images. The following table summarises these artefacts.

Table 1: Summary of common artefacts found in monochrome digital image and video systems [2]

Artefact	Description
Blockiness	Distortion of the image characterized by the appearance of an underlying block structure.
Blur	Global distortion, characterized by reduced sharpness of edges and loss of spatial detail.
Ringing	Appears as echoes of the hard edges in the picture or a rippling adjacent to step edges.
Contouring	Visibility of bands of grey scale over large regions.

When the original signal is not fully known, quantifying these artefacts is difficult. In particular, it is difficult to isolate the individual components listed in

table 1. However researchers have developed objective quality metrics based on such non-referenced or reduced reference techniques [3, 4, 5, 6]. They are good for in-service measurements and as estimates. If the original image is unknown it is often difficult to determine the presence and extent of artefacts. Image codec development, parameter tuning and benchmarking all require availability of more accurate and swifter measurements. This can be achieved by using synthetic images having known spatial distributions of pixel values designed to emphasise the artefacts to be assessed. In this study, the authors concentrated primarily on three coding artefacts, namely blockiness, blur and ringing.

2 Available Quality metrics

Many researchers have developed non-referenced, objective, quality metrics for blockiness in block-based compressed images [3]. Bailey et al. proposed a blockiness measure based on edge activity of reconstructed images [4]. However a search of the literature did not reveal any objective quality metric for ringing or edge blur.

3 Methodology

The main aim of this full referenced quality assessment approach was to design and synthesise a few test patterns in which the spatial distribution of pixel values will emphasise artefacts due to codec operation. Many image compressors have a control parameter, the quality factor that can be set by the user to adjust the compression ratio. In general the lower the quality factor the higher the compression ratio and the more visible artefacts become. At low compression ratios, the artefacts may not be obvious to the human eye.

3.1 Definition of Quality metrics

3.1.1 Blockiness

Blockiness is the distortion of the image characterised by the visibility of the underlying block encoding structure [4].

Some codecs, such as JPEG, divide the image into a number of small blocks which are then processed independently. As there are no constraints applied between adjacent blocks, such processing can result in discontinuity in reconstructed pixel values at block boundaries. The visibility of the block encoding structure depends on the magnitude of the discontinuity in the reconstructed image and can be measured horizontally and vertically as pixel intensity difference at block boundaries.

Blockiness can be expressed as the discontinuity in amplitude per block boundary pixel in the image. The higher the value of the blockiness, the higher the visibility of block structure.




Figure 1. Example of Blockiness resulting from JPEG codec at high compression ratio.

Consider an $M \times N$ image I , reconstructed from a 8×8 block coded image having M rows and N columns. As shown on Figure 1, both vertical and horizontal edges can be observed at regular pixel interval of 8 because of the 8×8 block processing. Consider row y , along line y , the horizontal blockiness can be calculated as,

$$\sum_x |I[x, y] - I[x+1, y]| \quad \text{where } x = 8, 16, 24, \dots, (N-8).$$

This computation is repeated for all rows from $y=1$ to M . The total of the vertical blockiness VB can be written as,

$$VB = \sum_{y=1}^M \sum_x |I[x, y] - I[x+1, y]| \quad (1)$$

This results from $\frac{(N-8)}{8} \cdot M$ block boundary pixels. Similarly, the horizontal blockiness HB ,

$$HB = \sum_{x=1}^n \sum_y |I[x, y] - I(x, y+1)| \quad (2)$$

results from $\frac{(M-8)}{8} \cdot N$ block boundary pixels.

Both the HB and the VB can be combined and normalised by dividing the number of boundary pixels. Hence the blockiness per boundary pixel B can be expressed as,

$$B = \frac{HB + VB}{\frac{N-8}{8} \cdot M + \frac{M-8}{8} \cdot N} \quad (3)$$

$$= \frac{4 \cdot (HB + VB)}{NM - 4(M + N)}$$

3.1.2 Edge blur and Ringing

Ringing always occurs at edges and blur generally occurs at edges. Since we are concerned with the blur occurring at edge, the rest of the paper concentrates on edge blur.

Ringing is an undesirable visible effect around edges. Many codecs transform the pixel values into the frequency domain where the transformed coefficients are then quantised. Quantisation errors resulting from this approach give rise to ringing around sharp discontinuities in the image.

An ideal sharp edge contains components at all frequencies. Any change in the amplitude of any of these components will result in ripples in the image with amplitude corresponding to the error.

As a result of energy compaction in a codec, many of the high frequency components are very small, and get

quantised to zero. This loss of high frequency components leads to blur in reconstructed image.




Figure 2. Ringing and edge blur at an edge of a one-dimensional signal.

Ringing and edge blur are defined in Figure 2. We define the region between the first crossings on each side of the edge transition as the edge blur region. Outside of this, from the start of the first overshoot on each side, the errors are classified as ringing.

To obtain a measure of blur, consider the shaded area in Figure 2. The greater the blur, the larger will be the shaded area. By dividing the area by the step height, a measure of average blur width can be obtained. In a similar manner, the area between the ringing signal and ideal signal provides a measure of the severity of ringing. With sampled data, an ideal step edge would involve a transition between two pixels, as illustrated by the circles in Figure 3.

The crosses in Figure 3 are the pixel values near the edge of the reconstructed image from a codec. The transition involves many pixels and pixel values may oscillate around each level of pixel values.

The edge blur and ringing are therefore quantified as

$$\text{Edge blur} = \frac{\sum_{\text{blur_region}} |\text{Error}|}{\text{Step Size}} \quad (4)$$




Figure 3. Edge blur and ringing for one-dimensional sampled data. Circles represent original pixel value and cross represent reconstructed pixel value.

$$\text{Ringing} = \frac{\sum_{\text{ringing_region}} |\text{Error}|}{\text{StepSize}} \quad (5)$$

In 2-D images, edges may appear at any orientation. Therefore we consider blur and ringing perpendicular to the edge under consideration. By summing the equations (4) and (5) over whole image and dividing by the number of edge pixels, we can obtain a measure of blur and ringing per edge pixel.

3.2 Design of the test signals

Two simple synthetic test signals have been designed to emphasise visible edge blur, ringing and blockiness artefacts. The pixel values and the shape of the pattern have been carefully chosen so that the algorithm could detect coding artefacts completely and adequately.

3.2.1 Blockiness

To generate and measure the blockiness artefact, it is necessary to have a test image without edges that results in edges at block boundaries after reconstruction. To produce such edges it is therefore necessary to have an intensity gradient within the test pattern. A simple horizontal or vertical gradient can not distinguish between edges introduced by block processing from contouring resulting from too few quantisation levels. Therefore an intensity pattern was selected as shown in Figure 4. The pixel values vary sinusoidally along a diagonal of the image.

Pixel values do not change uniformly within the test image with respect to their neighbours. The blockiness computation algorithm is applied to the error image; that is on the difference between original and reconstructed test image, to prevent the

gradient within the image being measured as blockiness.



Figure 4. Original diagonal test image, Size=66,614 bytes, bit-mapped

3.2.2 Edge blur and ringing

To test for edge blur and ringing it is necessary to have step edges within the image. These should include edges of all orientations in order to detect any orientation sensitivity inherent in the codec. A circular pattern contains edges of every orientation. Pixel values of 64 and 192 have been chosen on either side of the boundary, so that after reconstruction there is adequate amplitude margin to allow for ringing in the reconstructed image. To allow for more edges and resulting error pixels, concentric circles have been incorporated (see Figure 5). The spacing has been chosen as an odd number so that if block processing is used, the edges fall at different places within the blocks.

4. Results

The quality metrics were evaluated by applying them to the test images described in the previous section. A JPEG codec was tested at a range of compression ratios.

4.1 Blockiness

At low compression ratios the blockiness metric is small and increases rapidly with increasing compression ratio as shown in Figure 6.




Figure 5(a). Original grey scale concentric circles test image




Figure 5(b). JPEG reconstructed concentric circles test image with edge blur and ringing




Figure 6. Blockiness as a function of compression ratio using a JPEG codec on diagonal test image.

It was observed that errors not only occur at block boundaries but in some circumstances in the middle of blocks as well. This occurred at compression ratios of around 30 for this image, resulting in the minor non-monotonic variation seen in the results. This effect was particularly

pronounced when a constant gradient image was used because of a threshold effect in quantising the JPEG coefficients. At some compression levels, errors may actually reduce for higher compression depending on exactly where quantisation levels fall. The sinusoidal variation in the test image means that the different blocks have different gradients, averaging out, and significantly reducing, this effect.

4.2 Blur and Ringing




Figure 7. Blur, ringing metrics and total error as a function of JPEG compression ratio on the concentric circles test image

It can be observed that the general trend of ringing and blur is upward with increasing compression ratio. For the JPEG codec used for the simulations, ringing peaks around compression ratios of 10, 30 and 40. These are due to quantisation errors which affect the dc component of the pixel values in reconstructed image around the edge. This has influenced the blur around compression ratios 10 and 30. Blur and ringing decrease above a compression ratio of 40 due to severe quantisation.

5. Conclusions

In this work three new objective quality measures for blur, ringing and blockiness are proposed. The approach is based on known test patterns and measurements of the strength of each in the spatial domain. The quality metrics are good representation of artefacts and are swift in calculation. The proposed measures clearly distinguish

between the three artefacts. The diagonal test signals were designed with knowledge of the specific mechanisms and weaknesses inherent in block-based transform coding. However, the concentric circles test image can be used to evaluate blur and ringing produced by any type of codec. The authors intend to perform further research to design test signals for measuring other types of artefacts (global blur, colour artefacts, contouring) and extending to other types of codecs (JPEG2000, MPEG, etc.).

6. References

- [1] Quantel information web page <http://www.quantel.com/domisphere/infopool.nsf/>, visited on 5th June 2004
- [2] Punchihewa, A., and Bailey, D.G, Artefacts in Image and Video Systems; Classification and Mitigation, Proceedings of Image and Vision Computing New Zealand 2002, pp 197-202 (2002).
- [3] Punchihewa, A., Bailey, D.G, and Hodgson, R. M., A Survey of Coded Image and Video Quality Assessment, Proceedings of Image and Vision Computing New Zealand 2003, pp 326-331 (2003).
- [4] Bailey, D., Carli, M., Farias, M., and Mitra, S., Quality Assessment for Block-Based Compressed Images and Videos with regard to Blockiness Artefacts, Tyrrhenian International Workshop on Digital Communications Capri, Italy, pp 237-241 (2002).
- [5] Farias, M.C.Q., Moore, M. S., Foley, J. M., and Mitra, S. K., Detectability and Annoyance of Synthetic Block and Blurry Video Artefacts, Proceedings of XI European Signal Processing Conference, France, (2000).
- [6] Kusuma, M., and Zepernick, H., A Reduced-Reference Perceptual Quality Metric for In-Service Image Quality Assessment, Proceedings of Internet, Telecommunication and Signal processing (WITSP) conference and workshop, Australia, pp 72-76 (2003).